

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/632,299		07/31/2003	Kazuyoshi Kishibata	35985	3684		
116	7590	11/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		RDON LLP	PARRIES	PARRIES, DRU M			
1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELA	AND, OI	H 44114-3108	2836				
				DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/632,299	KISHIBATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dru M. Parries	2836	

Before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dru M. Parries	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in see with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, who	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed offer a final rejection.	hut prior to the data of filing a build	will make a measured by	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TF helow):	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		12 50.0117,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protein the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 3-8.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3-o</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bafara as an Aba data of Clica - N	-4' £ A 1'!!	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	or be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to calculate showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′	ls to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	11-4	
	7	BRIAN SIRCUS	
•	SUPER	RVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER

TECHNULOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 2 raise new limitations that require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Walter does teach two power supplies where one assists the other in powering a load when the one source can't supply all of the power. The applicant's argument about Walter's supplies being identical is moot, because nowhere in the claims does it say or imply two non-identical supplies. No response will be made in regards to the arguments of the newly added limitations.